

C. I. D.
I. O. REC
No.....
Date.....

Shanghai 336 Police.

Central Police Station.

Shanghai, 4th April, 1916.

Further REPORT ON Alleged Illegal Arrest of Tang Chi Sing

Made by D. S. Givens

Forwarded by

Sir,

Regarding attached, I beg to report that there is fairly strong affirming evidence regarding the portions "A" "B" & "C" of the Police Legal Assistant's Chit, but I cannot find any evidence to show where Tang was imprisoned.

I am, Sir,

Your Obedient Servant,

D. S. Givens

Detective Sergeant,

Chief Detective Inspector.

H. J. Givens

H. J. Givens

MEMORANDUM.

POLICE FORCE

MUNICIPAL COUNCIL.

Shanghai, 30-^o ~. 1916

To L. J. P.

it can be proved that (a) If the kidnapped man was known to be visiting his two men on 6th March, (b) that he was a revolutionary who was afraid to leave Changsha (c) that these two men suddenly left their home on 6th leaving goods behind them. (d) that Tang Chi-Sing is in fact imprisoned in the city. Then I think the evidence is sufficient to apply for a warrant for their arrest.

COT

Kr Newman

Can you do this? To protect the come

30
1

Municipal Police.
CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION DEPT.
Captain Superintendent Officer

Shanghai, March 21st 1916.

Sir,

The attached report from Detective Sergeant Givens shows that the two men, who according to the woman's statement, were responsible for the illegal arrest of her husband Mr. Tang Chi Sing 唐繼星 both disappeared from their houses on the night on which the offence occurred and as this is strong evidence in support of the complaint of kidnapping, I beg to suggest that a protest be made to the Senior Consul.

~~PCA~~
~~CC~~
I am afraid the is not
enough to go on so far -
illegal arresting on
kidnapping is concerned.
That is of opinion.

Yours Obedient Servant,

H. M. M. S.

Chief Detective Inspector.

W. H. C. S. C. S.
Captain Superintendent of Police.

Shanghai Municipal Police.

Central Police

Station.

March 21st

1916.

Further REPORT ON Alleged Illegal Arrest of Tang Chi Sing

Made by D.S.Givens

Forwarded by

Sir,

With reference to my report of March 15th touching the alleged kidnapping of a man named Tang Chi Sing (唐继星), the wife of Tang has now engaged Mr. W. J. E. Salmon. The latter's interpreter named Tang Sung Ching (唐松卿) now alleges that he has been informed by the wife of Tang Chi Sing that her husband left his home alone about 8p.m. 6th instant with the intention of visiting two relatives named Daung Nyoh Sing (唐玉祥) and Daung Tsoong Chu (唐中裕) residing at No. 948 Boone Road and No. 1,399 N.Szechuen Road Extension respectively. These had been to his house on the morning of that day and had invited him to visit them. Enquiries made by me go to show that the house in Boone Road is now empty, the occupiers having removed about four days ago. The downstairs portion of the house at No. 1,399 North Szechuen Road is occupied by a Chinese tailor. He states that Daung Tsoong Chu occupied rooms in the upstairs portion of that address. About 6p.m. 6th instant the day Tang Chi Sung disappeared- Daung went out and failed to return, leaving a bed, the only furniture he possessed behind.

No. 1,399 N.Szechuen Road Extension is situated in an Alley-way S.O.L. about twenty yards from N.Szechuen Road Extension. The front and back doors open into Chinese territory.

I am, Sir,

Your Obedient Servant,

D.S.Givens

Detective Sergeant.

Chief Detective Prof.

24/3

Police
Complaint
Form
No. 16

2280/2
16-3-6

March 15,

Messrs Jernigan & Fessenden,
Shanghai.

Gentlemen,

With reference to your letter of March 14 regarding the arrest of Tang Chi-sing (唐繼星), I attach herewith a statement made by Tang's wife. Therefrom you will notice that she avers that the alleged kidnapping was witnessed by a Soochow man, who had returned to his native city, and of whom she had the name and address. She promised to bring the man to Central Police Station on March 13, but failed to do so. At 9 a.m. on March 15 she brought a Yangchow man named Siau Vung Ping (萧文平) to the station. She maintains that this is the person referred to in her statement as the Soochow man, although he states himself that he has never been to Soochow in his life. Siau is unemployed and resides with an unemployed relation named Wong Yoh Sing (王亦星) at 5 Hung Tuh Li (恒德里) Frenchtown, near the Municipal slaughterhouse. With reference to the assertion that he witnessed the kidnapping, he states that at about 10 p.m. on March 6, he was returning from a visit to a friend, and when passing along Woosung Road, he saw a man wearing a raincoat being dragged along by six others. He neither saw revolvers or a carriage, and adds that he would not be able to recognise the

men if he saw them again.

Enquiries have been made on Woosung Road in the vicinity of Cuinsan Road corner, but no confirmation can be found of the allegations made.

I am of opinion that at present there is not sufficient evidence of an illegal arrest having been perpetrated in the Settlement to warrant any action in the matter.

Yours faithfully,



Captain_Superintendent of Police.

IEnc.

March 10th 8.

My name is Daung Bang Sze~~E~~ and I reside in Wong Lo Road in Frenchtown. My husband named Daung Nyoh Hyien~~CHI~~ was educated in England, and returned to China 15 years ago. He is a mining engineer by profession. Returning to this country, he worked at his profession in the northern provinces for about ten years. He came to Shanghai five years ago, and remained here ever since. During these five years he has had no employment. My husband had been a revolutionist for many years, but he was not a prominent member of that party. He was a man of retarding habits, and spent most of his time reading in his home. For some time he scarcely ever went out, being afraid that persons who differed from him in politics laid designs on his life. My husband has three brothers in Shanghai, viz, Tang Shao Yi ~~CHI~~ and Tang Yong Pah ~~CHI~~. My husband and his ~~wife~~ relatives ~~were~~ never exchanged visits, but they were on good terms. About 8.30 a.m. 6th inst Tang Yong Pah ~~CHI~~ who resides in Woosung Road Extension, Chapei, and his cousin ~~and~~ Daung Nyoh Hyien ~~CHI~~, who resides at No. 948 Winchester Road came to my home. When I opened the door in answer to their knocking, they said they wanted my husband to go to the home of Daung Nyoh Hyien to consult about some family affairs. I called my husband who was upstairs, and he went out with them. My husband failed to return. Before alarms the following morning I went to the home of Daung Nyoh Hyien, I met his wife who told she did not know where her husband was, and in reply to a further question, said she knew nothing of my husband. I have not seen Daung Nyoh Hyien or Daung Yong Pah since they took my husband from my home, altho I have been to the house of both of them several times.

At 6 p.m. 7th inst a Cantonese, who said he had just been released from the City Gaol, brought a letter from my husband which stated that he had been kidnapped by the relatives who called him out the previous night, and those he was ⁱⁿ in the City Gaol. The messenger said that my husband had told him that the relations had led my husband to the corner of Quinsai and Woosung Roads where they forced him to enter a carriage, and threatening him with revolvers, took him to Chinese territory. I did not take the name and address of the messenger, and don't know where he lives.

At 7 p.m. 9th inst a native of Soochow came to my home saying he had seen an account in the paper of the kidnapping of my husband. He said he had addressed what took place at the corner of Woosung and Quinsai Roads, and, although he did not know the parties, he was sure that my husband must have been the man who was forced at the point of revolver to enter a carriage. This Soochow man resides in his native city. He gave me his name and address.

Shanghai Municipal Police.

Central Police Station.

March 15th 1916.

REPORT ON Alleged Illegal Arrest of Tang Chi Sing alias Daung Chi Sing.

Made by D.S.Givens

Forwarded by

Sir,

With reference to the letters of Messrs Jernigan and Fessenden regarding the illegal arrest of one Tang Chi Sing (唐芝生) alias Daung Chi Sing (董芝生), I am attaching herewith a statement made by Tang's wife. You will notice where she avers that the alleged kidnapping was witnessed by a Soochow man, who had returned to his native city, and of whom she had the name and address. She promised to bring that man to this station on 13th instant, but failed to do so. Her lawyers were communicated with on 14th instant, and as a result at 9 a.m. to-day she brought a Yangchow man named Siau Yung Ping (蕭文炳) to the station. She maintains that this is the person referred to in her statement as the Soochow man, although he states himself that he has never been to Soochow in his life. Siau is unemployed, and resides with an unemployed relation named Wong Yoh Sing (王亦生) at No. 5 Hung Tuk Li (恆德里), Frenchtown, near the Municipal Slaughter House. With reference to the assertion that he witnessed the kidnapping, he states that about 10 p.m. 6th instant, he was returning from a visit to a friend, and when passing along Woosung Road, he saw a man wearing a rain coat being dragged along by six others. He neither saw revolvers or a carriage. He would not be able to recognize the men if he saw them again.

Enquiries have been made on the Woosung Road in the vicinity of Quinsan Road corner, but no confirmation can be found of the allegations made.

I am, Sir,
Your obedient servant,
D.S.Givens, D.S.

Chief Detective Inspector.

香港
大律師
三號

EDITION.
NO. 420

SHANGHAI, CHINA

14th March, 1916.



The Captain Superintendent of Police,
Central Station.

Sir:

Illegal Arrest - Tang Chi-sing (唐繼星)

With reference to our letter of the 9th instant forwarding a copy of a letter addressed to the Senior Consul, Mr. Siffert, on the above subject, we shall appreciate your informing us of the result of the interview Mr. Givens had with our client's wife and friend on Friday last, and whether the police have taken any action in the matter.

Thanking you in anticipation for an early reply, and for any assistance you may give us in the matter.

Yours faithfully,

Jernigan & Co., Inc.

CAB

In re: arrest & release of T.C.S.

Date Rec'd. Exp'd.

3/1/16

YKW/-
C.P.S.

File in C.P.

Rec'd. 3/1/16

T.D.
W.M.

EDITION.
No. 420
SHANGHAI, CHINA.

SHANGHAI, CHINA.

9th March, 1916.



Captain Superintendent of Police,
Central Station.

Sir:

We enclose herewith a copy of a letter addressed to Mr. Siffert, the Senior Consul, with reference to the illegal arrest, in the International Settlement, of one Tang Chi-sing (唐繼星), our client, for your information.

Your assistance and co-operation in the matter will be greatly appreciated.


Yours faithfully,

Jernigan & Gruen

YKW/-

Cos.
For full & careful investigation
report.

Yours
Jernigan & Gruen
9/16.



9th March, 1916.

D. Siffert Esq.,
Senior and Belgian Consul,
Shanghai.

Sir:

We have been instructed to bring to your notice the following incident, with the request that proper action be taken in the matter.

On Monday night, the 6th instant, Mr. Tang Chi-sing (唐繼星), our client, and a resident of the French Settlement, went to see one Tang Yu-chien (唐玉軒), who lives at No. 948, Boone Road, in the International Settlement (太監司路你安巷九百四十八號). At the latter's request, where he was taken, against his will, to the native city in a carriage, passing through the International and French Settlements. We understand the French authorities are investigating the matter.

As our client was unlawfully arrested within the limits of the International Settlement, without a prima facie case being first made out against him, if he were guilty of any political or other offence at all, which we submit there is no proof, it is a very serious usurpation of the rights of the local government and a breach of the peace and good order of the International Settlement.

Under the circumstances, we beg to suggest that the usual action be taken by the Consular Body in order that the Chinese authorities may be informed of this invasion and usurpation of the rights and privileges of the International Settlement, and our client be returned

D. Siffert Esq. (page two)

to the Settlement to answer any charge that may be preferred against him in accordance with the law and customs of the Settlement.

We would thank you for any information you may have on this subject after it has been duly investigated.

We are, Sir,

Your obedient servants,

(sd) Jernigan and Fessenden.

YKw/t